

## UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark, Office Address COMMESSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Bass. 1459
Alexandru, Vaguin 22313-4450
American States Comments Comments

APPLICATION NO	PILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO
09/926,395	10/25/2001	Kenchi Kawata	011424	9955
	7990 01/23/2004		EXAMINER	
ARMSTRONG, KRATZ, QUINTOS, HANSON & BROOKS, LLP 1725 K STREET, NW			RHEE, JANE J	
SUITE 1000 WASHINGTON, DC 20006			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			1222	

DATE MAILED: 01/23/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Applie	cation No.	Applicant(s)	
	Office Astion Communication		26,395	KAWATA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary		Exam	iner	Art Unit	
		Jane J		1772	
Period fo	<ul> <li>The MAILING DATE of this community Reply</li> </ul>	ication appears on	the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address	
THE - Extended after - If the - If NC - Falls - Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD F MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNI MOREOF OF THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THIS COMMUNICATION OF THE OF THIS COMMUNICATION O	CATION, of 37 CFR 1 135(a). In n surreation 0) days, a reply within the stutory period will apply as	no event, however, may a statutory minimum of the nd will expire SIX (6) MOR	mply be timely filed by (30) days will be considered timely OTHS from the mailing date of this communication	
1)[🖂	Responsive to communication(s) file	ed on 05 Septemb	ber 2003 .		
2a)	This action is FINAL.	2b) This action	n is non-final.		
3)□ Dispositi	Since this application is in condition closed in accordance with the pract on of Claims	for allowance exc ice under Ex parte	cept for formal ma e Quayle, 1935 C.	tters, prosecution as to the merits is D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are pending in the	application.			
	4a) Of the above claim(s) is/a	e withdrawn from	consideration.		
5)	Claim(s) is/are allowed.				
	Claim(s) 1-11 is/are rejected.				
7)	Claim(s) is/are objected to.				
8)[] Application	Claim(s) are subject to restric on Papers	ion and/or election	n requirement.		
	The specification is objected to by the				
10) 🔲 🛚	he drawing(s) filed on is/are:	a) accepted or b)	objected to by ti	ne Examiner.	
	Applicant may not request that any obje	ection to the drawing	g(s) be held in abeys	ince. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11)[] T	he proposed drawing correction filed	on is: a)[_	approved b) d	isapproved by the Examiner.	
	If approved, corrected drawings are req		Office action.		
12) T	he cath or declaration is objected to	by the Examiner.			
riority u	nder 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13)	Acknowledgment is made of a claim	for foreign priority	under 35 U.S.C. §	119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)[	All b) Some * c) None of:				
	<ol> <li>Certified copies of the priority of</li> </ol>	locuments have be	een received.		
	2. Certified copies of the priority of			polication No.	
:	<ol> <li>Copies of the certified copies of application from the Internal</li> </ol>	f the priority docur	ments have been	received in this National Stage	
	ee the attached detailed Office action				
	knowledgment is made of a claim fo				
15) A	The translation of the foreign lang knowledgment is made of a claim for	uage provisional a r domestic priority	application has be	en received. 66 120 and/or 121	
ttachment(		priority		33 120 616101 121	
) Notice	of References Cited (PTO-892) of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PT alion Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Pa	O-948)		ummary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Iformal Patent Application (PTO-152)	

#### DETAILED ACTION

#### Rejection Withdrawn

 The 35 U.S.C. 102 of claims 1-11 anticipated by Wakizaka et al. of record in Paragraph 1 of Paper 10 has been withdrawn due to Applicant's argument of 9/5/03.

# New Rejection

### Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the ni-restors was described in (1) as application for postert, published under section 122(b), by the miled in the silicial States before the memory by the applicant for pathed or (2) a patient section on an application for pathed by another filed in the United States before the invention by memory applicant for peater, oncept that an internation applicant the present cought that an internation applicant present cought that the present applicant present cought that the present applicant present cought that the present present the present the present that the present the present the present the present that the present the present the present that the present the present the present the present that the present the present the present that the present the present the present that the present the present the present the present that the present the pre

 Claims 1,5-9,11 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Itoh et al. (6042906).

Itoh et al. discloses a laminate of a multi-layer structure, comprising at least one alicyclic polymer layer (col. 6 line 52-53, col. 8 lines 60-61), one thermoplastic resin layer (col. 6 line 54-55) which comprises thempolastic resin other than those used in the polymer layer (A) and at least one layer of resin composition comprising an alicyclic polymer and a thermoplastic resin (col. 6 line 56 and col. 15 lines 1-16). Itoh et al. discloses that the multilayer structure is composed of thermoplastic resin layer, resin composition layer, alicyclic polymer layer, resin composition layer, and thermoplastic resin layer (col. 17 lines 52-59). Itoh et al. discloses that the total ratio of the alicyclic Application/Control Number: 09/926,395 Art Unit: 1772

polymer layer to the thermoplastic resin layer is 1.99 to 70.30 (col. 17 lines 1-5). Itch et al. discloses that the thickness proportion of the resin composition layer is 100-30% based on the total thickness of alicyclic polymer layer and the thermoplastic resin layer (col. 17 lines 1-5) which is in applicant's claimed range of 5-100% based on the total thickness of the alicyclic polymer layer and the thermoplastic resin layer. Itch et al. discloses that the thickness of the alicyclic polymer is 30 to 300 µm (col. 17 lines 60-61) which is in applicant's claimed range of 0.1 to 180µm, the thickness of the thermoplastic resin layer is 5 µm to 100µm (col. 17 lines 64-65) which is in applicant's claimed range of 0.2 to 250µm, and the thickness of the resin composition layer is 30 to 300µm (col. 17 lines 60-61) which is in applicant's claimed range of 0.7 to 75µm. Itch et al. discloses that the thickness of the laminate is 100 to 1000µm (col. 16 lines 65-67) which is in applicant's claimed range of 0.5µm to 5mm. Itch et al. discloses that laminate is formed in the form of a container (col. 1 line 7).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be registive by the manner in which the invention was made.

 Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Itoh et al. in view of Kakugo et al. (5141994). Itoh et al. discloses the laminate described above. Itoh et al. fail to disclose that the resin composition layer C is a linear low density polyolefin having a long period of at most 275 angstroms as measured by the small angle X-ray scattering method. Kakugo et al. teaches a linear low density polyolefin having a long period of less than 186 angstroms as measured by the small angle X-ray scattering method (col. 14 line 40) for the purpose of providing relatively excellent rigidity, heat resistance and surface hardness (col. 1 lines 14-16).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide tho et al. with a linear low density polyolefin having a long period of at most 275 angstroms as measured by the small angle X-ray scattering method in order to provide a relatively excellent rigidity, heat resistance and surface hardness (col. 1 lines 14-16).

 Claims 3-4,10 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over ltoh et al. in view of Hirose et al. (6165573).

Itoh et al. discloses the laminate described above. Itoh et al. fail to disclose that the alicyclic polymer forming alicyclic polymer layer A is a norbomene polymer wherein the norbomene polymer is a hydrogenated product of a ring-opening polymer of a norbomene monomer. Itoh et al. fail to discloses that the laminate is in the form of a film or sheet. Hirose et al. teaches that the alicyclic polymer forming the alicyclic polymer layer is a norbomene polymer (col. 28 lines 20-24) wherein that the norbomene polymer is a hydrogenated product of a ring-opening polymer of a norbomene monomer (col. 28 lines 30) for the purpose of providing excellent interfaminar bond properties, formability,

moisture resistance, transparency, moderate flexibility, tearability, heat sealing properties and dead fold properties but also in vacuum or pressure formability (col. 33 lines 27-34). Hirose et al. teaches that the laminate is in the form of a film or sheet for the purpose of favorably sealing the objects packed (col. 33 lines 36-38).

Therefore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Itoh et al. with the alicyclic polymer forming the alicyclic polymer layer that is a norbornene polymer wherein that the norbornene polymer is a hydrogenated product of a ring-opening polymer of a norbornene monomer in order to provide excellent intertaminar bond properties, formability, moisture resistance, transparency, moderate fexibility, tearability, heat sealing properties and dead fold properties but also in vacuum or pressure formability (col. 33 lines 27-34) as taught by Hirose et al.

Furthermore, it would have been obvious to one having ordinary skill in the art at the time applicant's invention was made to provide Itoh et al, with the laminate that is in the form of a film or sheet in order to favorably sealing the objects packed (col. 33 lines 36-36) as taught by Hirose et al.

## Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 1-11 have been considered but are moot in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

## Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Jane J Rhee whose telephone number is 571-272-1499. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Nasser Ahmad can be reached on 571-272-1487. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are 703-872-9306 for regular communications and 763-872-93111 for After-Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661,

Jane Rhee January 12, 2004

NASSER AHMAD PRIMARY EXAMINE